

This material is part of a collection that documents the harassment, discrimination, and retaliation perpetrated against Alaska's women research scientists by their supervisor, with full knowledge (and arguably, "tacit approval") of their federal employer, the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS)

From: "Lori"
Subject: **Re: chronology**
Date: March 30, 2008 3:24:08 PM GMT-08:00
To: "CK B" <ckbower@cmug.com>

this is the guy. sounds pretty relevant.

<http://www.lawyers.com/Alaska/Anchorage/>

On Sun, Mar 30, 2008 at 2:33 PM, CK B <ckbower@cmug.com> wrote:

Jesus Christ!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! I am so sorry for all you've been through in the last few years. I knew it was a lot, but I guess I always blocked out that it was continuous. Plus, your chronology doesn't even address the meetings with the-wrong-people-at-the-wrong-time, or with Pat Frick and whatever unpleasantness that may have occurred there.

Hopefully you can back up everything you're claiming. I believe you, but the claims might seem outrageously improbable to anyone in the real world. You will be challenged for proof with every claim you make (until the dolts finally figure out that it's all true).

For your statement: "No females have been Acting RL. All males have, even those on probation and GS-12." you need to specify "in Fairbanks", since Joe has never been asked, (probably just due to location...)

Again, ("Male SY's get permanenet techs. I got a 13 month term."), Joe also has a temporary tech, so you need to specify "in Fairbanks". Then you can say that we both have temps and they all have permanents.

Did you mention that the RL denied the performance awards for your technician? That undermined your supervisory authority in your own lab. He did the same thing to me by denying Katie's Spot Award.

You probably can't include it (since it represents hearsay), but the other female SY in Fairbanks, (me), had reported (to you) that she had been indirectly cautioned about associating with you, in a further attempt to isolate you from your peers. (This is a stretch, because he never mentioned you by name, however he did mention Steve Seefeldt by name as the type of person I should be associating with.) My "proof" for this encounter was by email to Linda the day it happened. I didn't actually relate the story to you for several weeks.

Nice touch, introducing the signs of violence. May it reach someone in EEO with ethics and an IQ over 80...

On Mar 30, 2008, at 11:32 AM, Lori _____ wrote:

> attached, thanks

> <Alberto interaction documentation.doc>